
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin

Technical Report # 398

October, 1974

OPTIMAL STOPPING OF THE SAMPLE
DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

by

Robert L. Wardrop
University of Wisconsin

Typist - Mary Ann Clark

This research was supported in part by a National Defense Education Act
Title IV Graduate School Fellowship at the University of Michigan.

SUMMARY

Let $F_n(t)$ be the usual sample distribution function obtained by sampling from a uniform $(0, 1)$ distribution. Let $B_n(t) = \sqrt{n} (F_n(t) - ct)$, where $c = 1 + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}}$, $-\infty < \delta < \infty$. For $V_n(\delta) = \sup_{\tau} E(B_n(\tau))$, where the supremum is taken over all stopping times $\tau \leq 1$, we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n(\delta) = V(\delta)$, where $V(\delta)$ can be computed easily by using a normal distribution table. We find a stopping time σ ($\sigma(B_n) = \text{least } t \geq 0: B_n(t) \geq \alpha \sqrt{1-t} - \delta$, α a known number) such that $E(B_n(\sigma)) \rightarrow V(\delta)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $-\infty < \delta < \infty$.

In the course of proving the above, we show that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B_n(t)|$, $n \geq 1$, are uniformly integrable.

Optimal Stopping of the Sample Distribution Function *

1. Introduction:

Let $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_n$ be the order statistics of a random sample from a uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$. Set $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{n+1} = \infty$. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, define

$$F_n(t) = j/n, \quad t_j \leq t < t_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \text{and}$$

$$B_n(t) = B_n(t; \delta) = \sqrt{n} (F_n(t) - ct),$$

where

$$-\infty < \delta < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad c = (1 + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}}).$$

Define

$$V_n(\delta) = \sup_{\tau} E(B_n(\tau; \delta)),$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times $\tau \leq 1$.

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $-\infty < \delta < \infty$, let $B(t) = B(t; \delta)$ be standard Brownian motion conditioned (pinned) to pass through $-\delta$ at $t = 1$. Let

$$V(\delta) = \sup_{\tau} E(B(\tau; \delta)),$$

where the supremum is taken over all stopping times $\tau \leq 1$. Shepp [5] has shown that

$$V(\delta) = E(B(\sigma; \delta)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \delta \geq \alpha \\ -\delta + (1 - \alpha^2) \int_0^{\infty} e^{s\delta - s^2/2} ds, & \delta < \alpha, \end{cases}$$

where α is the unique real solution of $\alpha = (1 - \alpha^2) \int_0^{\infty} e^{s\alpha - s^2/2} ds$ ($\alpha = .83992\dots$) and

AMS 1970 subject classification. Primary 62L15; Secondary 60G15.

School fellowship at the University of Michigan.

Key words and phrases: Optimal stopping, uniformly integrable, weak convergence of probability measures.

$$(1) \quad \sigma = \sigma(B) = \begin{cases} \text{least } t \geq 0 \text{ such that } B(t) \geq \alpha \sqrt{1-t} - \delta . \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is well known that

$$B_n(t; \delta) \xRightarrow{d} B(t; \delta) , \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty ,$$

(where \xRightarrow{d} means "converges in distribution to"). This paper will show that the following two statements are true.

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n(\delta) = V(\delta) , \quad -\infty < \delta < \infty , \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(B_n(\sigma; \delta)) = E(B(\sigma; \delta)) = V(\delta) , \quad -\infty < \delta < \infty .$$

Formula (2) states that "the limit of the values (V_n) equals the value (V) of the limiting process". Since (2) is true, (3) states that " σ is almost optimal for the B_n process when n is large".

2. Proof of (3) .

In order to prove (2) and (3) we will need some of the theory of weak convergence of probability measures. See Billingsley [1] for details. Let

$$W(t) = W(t, \omega), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

be standard Brownian motion on $[0, \infty)$ defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_1)$. For $-\infty < \delta < \infty$, set

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} B(s) = B(s, \omega; \delta) &= -\delta s + (1-s) W\left(\frac{s}{1-s}\right), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1 \\ &= -\delta , \quad s = 1 , \end{aligned}$$

which is consistent with our earlier definition of B .

Let $C = C[0, 1]$ be the metric space of continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with metric d given by

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t) - y(t)|.$$

$C[0, \infty)$ is the set of continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. $D = D[0, 1]$ is the metric space of functions on $[0, 1]$ which are right continuous and have left hand limits. The metric d' on D is of no interest to us except for the following lemma.

Lemma 1. If $x \in C$, $x_n \in D$, $n \geq 1$, and $d'(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x_n(t) - x(t)| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof: See [1], p. 112.

Let

$$g(s) = g_\delta(s) = \alpha \sqrt{1-s} - \delta, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1, \text{ and}$$

$$g^*(t) = g_\delta^*(t) = \alpha \sqrt{t+1} - \delta, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We note that for $x \in D$ and σ given by (1),

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma_\delta(x) = \inf \{t: x(t) \geq g_\delta(t)\},$$

where the infimum of the empty set is one. For $x \in C[0, \infty)$, set

$$(5) \quad \pi(x) = \pi_\delta(x) = \inf \{t: x(t) \geq g_\delta^*(t)\},$$

where the infimum of the empty set is ∞ . Shepp [5] has shown that

$$P_1\{\pi_\delta(W) < \infty\} = 1, \quad -\infty < \delta < \infty.$$

By (4) above,

$$(6) \quad \sigma_{\delta}(B) = \pi_{\delta}(W) / (\pi_{\delta}(W) + 1), \quad -\infty < \delta < \infty,$$

hence,

$$(7) \quad P_1 \{ \sigma_{\delta}(B) < 1 \} = 1, \quad -\infty < \delta < \infty.$$

Let $\mu_n = \mu_{n, \delta}$ be the measure induced on D by B_n and let $\mu = \mu_{\delta}$ be the measure induced on D by B . Since $B_n \xRightarrow{g} B$ we say that μ_n converges weakly to μ (written $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$).

Let

$$A = \{ \omega \in \Omega: \sigma(B) < 1 \text{ and for every } \epsilon > 0, \text{ there exists a } t \text{ in the interval } (\sigma(B), \sigma(B) + \epsilon) \text{ such that } B(t) > g(t) \}, \quad -\infty < \delta < \infty.$$

We refer to A as the event that " B is not tangent to g at $\sigma(B)$ ". By (4) and (6) A may be written as

$$\{ \omega \in \Omega: \pi(W) < \infty \text{ and for every } \epsilon > 0, \text{ there exists, a } t \in (\pi(W), \pi(W) + \epsilon) \text{ such that } W(t) > g^*(t) \}, \quad -\infty < \delta < \infty.$$

Henceforth, we restrict ourselves to the case where $-\infty < \delta < \alpha$.

Lemma 2. $P_1(A) = 1, \quad -\infty < \delta < \alpha.$

Proof: Since

$$\delta < \alpha, \quad \pi > 0 \text{ and } W(t) < g^*(t), \quad 0 \leq t < \pi. \text{ For } m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

define

$$B_m = \{ W(t) > g^*(t), \text{ for some } t, \pi \leq t < \pi + \frac{1}{m} \}.$$

$$B_m \supset B_{m+1} \text{ and } A = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m. \text{ Hence}$$

$$P_1(A) = \lim P_1(B_m), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore,

$$P_1(B_m) \geq P_1 \left\{ W(t) > g^*\left(\pi + \frac{1}{m}\right), \text{ for some } t, \pi \leq t < \pi + \frac{1}{m} \right\}.$$

This implies, by the strong Markov property, that

$$(8) \quad P_1(B_m) \geq P_1 \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{m}} W(t) > \alpha \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{m}} - 1 \right) \right\},$$

since $g^*(x + \frac{1}{m}) - g^*(x)$ is a decreasing function of x . The distribution of

$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq a} W(t)$ is well known (see, for example [1], p. 72). Hence (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(B_m) &\geq 2 P_1 \left\{ W\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) > \alpha \sqrt{m} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{m}} - 1 \right) \right\} \\ &= 2[1 - \Phi(\alpha / (m(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{m}} + 1)))] \rightarrow 1, \\ &\text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where Φ is the distribution function of a $N(0, 1)$ random variable.

Q. E. D.

For $x \in D$, let

$$x((t-)) = \lim_{s \uparrow t} x(s),$$

$$J^*(x) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x(t) - x(t-)|, \text{ and}$$

$$h(x) = h_\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x(0) \neq 0 \text{ or } J^*(x) > 1 \\ x(\sigma_\delta(x)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any fixed $\delta < \alpha$, h_δ is a bounded function. Furthermore,

$$(9) \quad E(B_n(\sigma)) = \int h(x) d\mu_n(x), \quad n \geq 1, \text{ and}$$

$$(10) \quad E(B(\sigma)) = \int h(x) d\mu(x).$$

Let $D_h = D_h(\delta)$ be the set of discontinuities of h_δ . D_h is a measurable subset of D (see [1], p. 30).

Lemma 3. If $\mu_n \Rightarrow \mu$ and h is a real bounded measurable function with

$$\mu(D_h) = 0, \text{ then } \int h(x) d\mu_n(x) \rightarrow \int h(x) d\mu, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof: See [1], p. 31.

In order to apply Lemma 3 to our problem, we need to show that $\mu(D_h) = 0$. Let

$$C^* = C^*(\delta) = \{x \in C: \sigma(x) < 1, x(0) = 0, x(1) = -\delta, \\ \text{and } x \text{ is not tangent to } g \text{ at } \sigma(x)\}.$$

By Lemma 2 and (7), $\mu(C^*) = 1$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the following.

Lemma 4. If $x \in C^*$, then h is continuous at x .

Proof: Let $x_n \in D$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $x \in C^*$, $0 < \sigma(x) < 1$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ satisfy $\epsilon < \min(\sigma(x), 1 - \sigma(x))$. Since $g - x$ is continuous, there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$g(s) - x(s) > 2\delta_1, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \sigma(x) - \epsilon.$$

Since $x \in C^*$, there exists an r , $\sigma(x) < r < \sigma(x) + \epsilon$, such that $x(r) - g(r) = 2\delta_2 > 0$.

Let

$$\delta_3 = \min\left(\frac{1}{4}, \delta_1, \delta_2\right).$$

By Lemma 1 there exists an N such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x_n(t) - x(t)| < \delta_3 ,$$

and $J^*(x_n) < 1$, $n \geq N$, since $\delta_3 \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Thus

$$\sigma(x) - \epsilon < \sigma(x_n) < \sigma(x) + \epsilon , \quad n \geq N.$$

Since g is strictly decreasing,

$$\delta_3 + g(\sigma(x) - \epsilon) > x_n(\sigma(x_n)) > g(\sigma(x) + \epsilon) , \quad n \geq N.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\sigma(x_n)) = g(\sigma(x)) = h(x),$$

Q. E. D.

3. Proof of (2).

Recall that random variables X_1, X_2, \dots , are uniformly integrable if, and only if,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{\{|X_n| > \eta\}} |X_n| dP = 0.$$

Lemma 5. X_1, X_2, \dots , are uniformly integrable if, and only if ,

$$(i) \quad \sup E|X_n| < \infty , \quad \text{and}$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \sup_A \int_A |X_n| dP = 0,$$

where the supremum is taken over all sets A with $P(A) < \eta$.

Proof: See [4], p. 62 .

Lemma 6. Let X_1, X_2, \dots , be random variables. If there is a random variable Y and an $\eta_0 > 0$ such that $E|Y| < \infty$ and

$$P(|X_n| \geq \eta) \leq P(|Y| \geq \eta), \quad n \geq 1, \quad \eta \geq \eta_0,$$

then X_n , $n \geq 1$, are uniformly integrable.

Proof: See [1], p. 32.

For $n \geq 1$, $-\infty < \delta < \alpha$, let

$$Y_n = Y_n(\delta) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B_n(t; \delta)|.$$

Theorem 1. The random variables $Y_n(\delta)$, $n \geq 1$, defined above are uniformly integrable for all δ , $-\infty < \delta < \alpha$.

Proof: First consider the case $\delta = 0$. Let W_1, W_2, \dots , be independent random variables, each having distribution function

$$G(x) = 1 - e^{-x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

If $\xi_n = W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_n$, then (see [2], p. 285)

$$Y_n(0) \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{n} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \frac{\xi_k}{\xi_{n+1}} - \frac{k}{n} \right|, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n(0) &\stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| (\xi_k^{-k}) + n \left(\frac{\xi_k}{\xi_{n+1}} - \frac{k}{n} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \xi_k^{-k} \right| + \sqrt{n} \left(\xi_{n+1} \left| \frac{1}{\xi_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{n} \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \xi_k^{-k} + \sqrt{n} \left| 1 - \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{n} \right| \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \xi_k^{-k} + \left| \frac{\xi_n^{-n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right| + \frac{W_{n+1}}{\sqrt{n}} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

$\xi_k - k$ is a martingale with $E[(\xi_k - k)^2] = k$. Thus, by the Kolmogorov extension of Chebyshev's inequality ([2], p. 65)

$$P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\xi_k - k| > \eta\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta^2}, \quad \eta > 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} |\xi_n - n| \geq \eta\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta^2}, \quad \eta > 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Finally,

$$P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} W_{n+1} > \eta\right) \leq \frac{1}{\eta^2}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

for η sufficiently large. It now follows easily from Lemma 6 that $Y_n(0)$, $n \geq 1$, are uniformly integrable.

For $\delta \neq 0$,

$$B_n(t; \delta) = B_n(t; 0) - \delta t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Hence, for $n \geq 1$,

$$Y_n(\delta) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B_n(t; \delta)| \leq Y_n(0) + |\delta|.$$

Therefore $Y_n(\delta)$, $n \geq 1$, are uniformly integrable.

Q. E. D.

If X_n , $n \geq 1$, are random variables and a_n , $n \geq 1$, are positive constants, then $X_n = O_p(a_n)$ if, and only if,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{n \geq 1} P\left(\left|\frac{1}{a_n} X_n\right| > \eta\right) = 0.$$

Lemma 7. There exists a probability space $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', P')$ with $B_n(t; 0)$ and $B(t; 0)$ defined on it such that

$$X_n(0) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B_n(t; 0) - B(t; 0)| = O_{P'}(n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n}).$$

Proof: See [3].

Since $B_n(t; \delta) = B_n(t; 0) - \delta t$ and $B(t; \delta) = B(t; 0) - \delta t$, the conclusion of Lemma 7 may be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} X_n(\delta) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B_n(t; \delta) - B(t; \delta)| \\ &= O_{P'}(n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n}) \end{aligned}$$

It is well known (see, for example [1], p. 72) that for X

$$X' = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t; 0), \quad E|X'| < \infty. \quad \text{Furthermore, } X' \stackrel{d}{=} -X' \stackrel{d}{=} \inf_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t; 0).$$

Now let

$$X(\delta) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(t; \delta).$$

$$0 \geq X(\delta) \geq X(0) - \delta^+,$$

so that

$$E|X(\delta)| < \infty, \quad -\infty < \delta < \alpha.$$

Theorem 2.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n(\delta) = V(\delta), \quad -\infty < \delta < \alpha.$$

Proof. We adopt the above notation. In particular, $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', P')$, B_n , and B are as given by Lemma 7. Fix δ . Given $\eta > 0$, there is an $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$,

$$(11) \quad \sup_{\{A: P'(A) < \epsilon\}} \int_A \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_n(t; \delta) dP' < \eta/3$$

by Theorem 1. Furthermore, there is an $\epsilon_2 > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_2$,

$$(12) \quad \sup_{\{A: P'(A) < \epsilon\}} \left| \int_A \inf B(t; \delta) dP' \right| < \eta/3,$$

since the integrand is integrable. Let $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$. By Lemma 7 there is a Θ such that for every $\theta \geq \Theta$

$$(13) \quad P'\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |B_n(t; \delta) - B(t; \delta)| \geq \theta n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n} \right\} \leq \epsilon,$$

for every $n \geq 1$.

Fix $\theta \geq \Theta$. (13) implies that

$$P'\{B_n(t) \leq B(t) + \theta n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \geq 1 - \epsilon, \text{ for every } n \geq 1.$$

Set

$$A = A_{n, \epsilon} = \{B_n(t) \leq B(t) + \theta n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

The optimal stopping time for the process $B_n(t)$ is

$$\tau_n = \text{least } t \geq 0 \text{ such that } B_n(t) \geq g_n(t), \\ W_n(t; \delta) = B(t; \delta) + \theta n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n}, \text{ on the set } A_{n, \epsilon}, \\ = 1, \text{ otherwise}$$

(see, e. g. [6]), where all that is known about g_n is that it can be taken to be continuous, nonincreasing, and $g_n(1) = -\delta$. Consider the stopping

time τ_n^* for the B process given by

$$\tau_n^* = \text{least } t \geq 0 \text{ such that } B(t) \geq g_n(t) - \theta n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n} .$$

On the set A , $\tau_n^* \leq \tau_n$ and

$$B_n(\tau_n) \leq B(\tau_n^*) + \theta n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n} + n^{-1/2}$$

(Note: $n^{-1/2}$ is the maximum "excess over the boundary" g_n of B_n at time τ_n). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad V_n(\delta) &= \mathbb{P} E(B_n(\tau_n)) = \int_A B_n(\tau_n) + \int_{A^c} B_n(\tau_n) \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega'} B(\tau_n^*) - \int_{A^c} B(\tau_n^*) + \theta n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n} + n^{-1/2} \\ &\quad + \int_{A^c} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

Pick N sufficiently large that

$$\theta n^{-1/4} \sqrt{\log n} + n^{-1/2} \leq \eta/3, \quad n \geq N.$$

By (11), (12), and (14),

$$V_n(\delta) \leq E(B(\tau_n^*)) + \eta \leq V(\delta) + \eta, \quad n \geq N.$$

or, since η is arbitrary

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n(\delta) \leq V(\delta),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup V_n(\delta) \leq V(\delta)$$

On the other hand, by (3),

$$V_n(\delta) \geq E(B_n(\sigma)) \rightarrow V(\delta), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n(\delta) = V^*(\delta)$, $-\infty < \delta < \alpha$. Q. E. D.

It is easy to see that for $n, m \geq 1$,

$$0 \leq V_n(\alpha) \leq V_n(\alpha - \frac{1}{m}).$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n(\alpha) \leq V(\alpha - \frac{1}{m}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

This gives us $V_n(\delta) \rightarrow 0 = V(\delta)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $\delta \geq \alpha$. (It is easy to see that $V_n(\delta)$ is non-increasing in δ).

4. Remarks. In [6] we show how to compute $V_n(\delta)$ for small n and all δ , $-\infty < \delta < \infty$. We compare our exact (numerical) results for $V_n(\delta)$ with $V(\delta)$ for some choices of n and δ in Table 1. Applications of Theorem 2 are also given in [6] and will appear in a later report.

5. Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Professor Michael B. Woodroffe for helpful discussions and Professor Norman Starr for his guidance in directing this research.

Table 1

Comparison of $V_n(\delta)$ and $V(\delta)$.

δ

	-1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	
n	1	1.0000	.7500	.6667	.5000	.3333	.2500	0
	4	1.2000	.8074	-	.4493	-	.1606	0
	9	1.2144	-	.6690	.4267	.2192	-	0
	16	1.2148	.7924	-	.4142	-	.1205	0
	25	1.2132	-	-	.4062	-	-	0
	36	1.2115	.7835	.6494	.4006	.1904	.1068	0
$V(\delta)$	1.1930	.7569	.6219	.3688	.1589	.0774	0	

References

- [1] Billingsley, Patrick (1968). Convergence of Probability Measures , Wiley, New York.
- [2] Breiman, Leo (1968). Probability , Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.
- [3] Brillinger, D. R. (1969). An Asymptotic Representation of the Sample Distribution Function. American Mathematical Society Bulletin , 75, pp. 545-547.
- [4] Loève , Michel (1960). Probability Theory , 2nd. ed. Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey.
- [5] Shepp, L. A. (1969). Explicit Solutions to Some Problems of Optimal Stopping. Annals of Mathematical Statistics , 40, pp. 993-1010 .
- [6] Wardrop, R. (1974). Optimal Stopping Based on Catch Times: The Increasing Failure Rate Case, Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Statistics Department, The University of Michigan.