DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MADISON, WISCONSIN

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 126

August, 1967

TOLERANCE REGIONS
A SURVEY OF ITS LITERATURE

IV. Best Population Problems and Tolerance Regions

by

Irwin Guttman

The writing of this series of reports was supported by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, and the Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin through the United States Army (under contract DA-31-124-ARO-D-462).

5. Best Populations and Tolerance Regions

The framework of a "best" population problem consists (usually) of the following ingredients:

- (1) there is a collection $\Pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$ of k populations or processes, defined over the <u>same</u> sample space $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O})$;
- (2) the population π_i is distributed with probability density function $f(x|\theta_i)$, where θ_i may be vector-valued;
- (3) interest focuses on a specific criterion $h_i = g(\theta_i)$, where the functional form of g is known -- for example, $g(\theta_i)$ might be the population mean or the reciprocal of the variance of the i^{th} population, $i = 1, \ldots, k$;
- (4) we wish to find (select, pick, estimate, etc.) that population which has the largest value amongst the h_i , $i=1,\ldots,k$.

Using the above notation, we now can state the following definition.

<u>Definition 5.1.</u> A collection of populations $\Pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$ contains a best population with respect to the criterion $h_i = g(\theta_i)$ if and only if there exists an ordering of the h_i such that

$$h_{[k]} > h_{[k-1]} \ge h_{[k-2]} \ge \dots \ge h_{[1]}$$
 (5.1)

We then say that the population corresponding to $h_{[k]}$ is the best population and we designate it by $\pi_{[k]}$.

where \overline{X} is the sample mean computed from the best population, that is

$$P (CS) = P_{r}(\overline{X}_{(1)} \ge \overline{X} - d_{1})$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} {K \choose i=2} [1-G(\overline{x}-d_{1}; \mu_{[i]}, \sigma^{2})] dG(\overline{x}; \mu_{[1]}, \sigma^{2})$$
(5. 5a)

where $G(t; \mu, \sigma^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} \sqrt{n} (2\pi^{\sigma^2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\{-n(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2\} dx$. Hence we have that

$$Pr(CS) = \left(\frac{n}{2\pi\sigma^{2}}\right)^{k/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\overline{x}-d_{1}}^{\infty} \dots \int_{\overline{x}-d_{1}}^{\infty} exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2\sigma^{2}}\left[\sum_{i=2}^{K}(\overline{x}_{i}-\mu_{[1]})^{2}\right] + (\overline{x}-\mu_{[1]})^{2}\right\} d\overline{x}_{2} \dots d\overline{x}_{k} d\overline{x}.$$

$$(5.5b)$$

If we set $t_i = \overline{x}_i - \mu_{[1]}$, i = 2, ..., k, and $t_1 = \overline{x} - \mu_{[1]}$, we then have that

$$Pr(CS) = H_{d_{1}}(\mu_{[1]} - \mu_{[k]}, \dots, \mu_{[1]} - \mu_{[2]})$$
(5.6)

where

$$H_{d_{1}}(\tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{k-1}) = \left(\frac{n}{2\pi\sigma^{2}}\right)^{k/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{t_{1}-d_{1}+\tau_{1}}^{\infty} \dots \int_{t_{1}-d_{1}+\tau_{k-1}}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2\sigma^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} t_{i}^{2}\right\} dt_{2} \dots dt_{k} dt_{l}$$
(5.6a)

An examination of H_{d_l} shows it is a monotone decreasing function in its arguments. Further, if we fix $\mu_{[l]}$, and recalling (5.4), we note that

$$\overline{X}_{i} \leq \overline{X}_{(1)} + d_{3} W$$

where d_3 is a constant satisfying

$$P* = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[1 - T(t - \sqrt{n} d_3)\right]^{k-1} d T(t), \qquad (5.10)$$

where T(t) is the cumulative distribution function of a Student-t variable with k(n-1) degrees of freedom. Table 5.2 gives some values of d 3 for selected P* and n, with k=2,3 and 4.

Case N_4 : μ 's known, with $\mu_i = \mu$, $i=1,\ldots,k$; σ^2 's unknown and variable.

This case splits itself into two cases.

l. $\mu > a$. Consulting (5.3), the conditions defining this case imply (see 5.3) that we are looking for that population with the largest of the σ_i . The parameter-free at level P* procedure is as follows:

Procedure $N_{4,1}$: Retain π_i in the subset if

$$V_{i}^{'2} \ge d_{4,1} V_{(k)}^{'2}$$

where $V_i^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (X_{ij} - \mu)^2$, i = 1, ..., k, $V_{(k)}^2 = \max_{i=1}^{k} V_i^2$, and $d_{4,1}$ satisfies

$$P* = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[F_{n}(u/d_{4,1}) \right]^{k-1} dF_{n}(u)$$
 (5.11)

where $F_n(u)$ is the cumulative distribution function of a Chi-Square variable with n degrees of freedom.

 $2.~\mu < a.$ For this case, we are looking for that population with the least value of the σ_i . As is intuitively expected, the following procedure is parameter-free at level P* for this situation.

Procedure $N_{4,2}$: Retain π_i in the subset if

$$V_i^{'2} \le d_{4,2} V_{(1)}^{'2}$$

where the $V_i^{'2'}s$ are defined as in Procedure $N_{4,1}$ and where $d_{4,2}$ satisfies

$$P* = \int_{0}^{\infty} [1 - F_{n}(v/d_{4,2})]^{k-1} dF_{n}(v)$$
 (5.12)

where $F_n(v)$ is as defined in Procedure $N_{4,1}$.

We tabulate some values of $d_{4,1}$ and $d_{4,2}$ in Table 5.3 for selected P* and n, with k = 2, 3 and 4.

Case N $_{5}$: μ 's known, variable; σ 's unknown and variable.

Bearing in mind the condition that defines Case N $_5$ and that we seek to find that population with least value of $(\mu_i$ -a)/ σ_i , we see immediately that Case N $_5$ splits into the following three cases.

Table 5.3 † Values of the constant d_{4,1} needed to make the P cedure N_{4,1} parameter free at level P *

		55.	.0055	42	.0873	.1284	1644	.1960	.2238	.2486	70	9	.3092	.3259	.3413	.3556	.3688	.3812	.3927	.4036	.4138	.4235	.4326	.4412	.4495	.4573	8494.	.4719	.4787	.4852	.4915	.4975	.5116	.5244	.5362	.5471	.5572	φ	75	.5835
		.95	.0283	0	.1683	19		.2961	.3257	.3514	.3741	.3942	.4122	.4285	4644.	.4570	9694.	.4812	.4921	.5022	.5116	.5205	.5289	.5368	.5443	.5514	.5581	.5645	.5707	.5765	.5821	.5875	.5999	.6113	.6216	31	.6399	48	.6556	.6627
	Υ !!	06.	.0587	15	.2315	.2862	.3293	13644	.3939	.4191	.4411	. 4605	4778	. 4933	.5074	. 5202	.5320	. 5429	.5530	. 5624	.5711	.5794	.5871	. 594¢	.6012	.6077	.6139	8619.	.6253	.6307	.6358	9049.	.6519	.6622	.7615	.6801	.6879	95	02	.7083
		.75	.1663	.2997	.3792	0484.	.4750	+507+	ŧ	.5562	.5753	.5919	.6065	.6196	.6313	.6420	.6516	.6605	.6687	.6763	,6834	0069	.6961	.7019	.707±	.7125	,7174	.7220	.7264	30	동	.7383	.7471	.7550	.7622	.7687	.7748	.7803	.7855	.7902
		66.	.0067	.0485	6960.	.1403	.1778	.2105	.2391	.2644	.2870	_	.3258	.3427	58	.3725	.3857	.3981	9604	.4205	.4306	7044.	. 4493	.4579	0994.	.4738	.4812	. 4883	0564.	.5015	.5077	.5136	.5275	.5401	.5517	.5624	.5723	.5815	. 5901	.5981
	m	.95	.0347	+611.	.1881	.2416	.2846	.3202	.3503	.3762	9986.	Ţ	1370	.4532	. 4679	.4814	.4938	.5053	.5159	.5258	.5351	.5438	.5520	.5597	.5670	.5739	.5805	.5867	.5927	.5984	.6038	0609.	.6211	.6320	.6420	.6512	9659.	.6675	.6747	.6815
	χ 11	06.	.0723		.2601	16	3605	.3960	.4255	9054.	472t	CT6+.	.5084	.5236	.5374	5466	.5613	.5719	.5817	.5907	.5992	.6071	.6145	.6215	.6281	.6343	.6402	65+9	.6512	.6563	.6611	.6658	.6766	.6863	.6951	.7032	.7107	.7176	.7240	.7300
		.75	.2078	.3505	$\overline{}$.4856	. 5256	.5568	.5821	.6032	.6211	/050.	. 6504	. 6625	.6733	.6832	.6921	.7003	.7078	.7147	.7212	.7272	.7328	.7380	.7430	.7477	.7521	.7562	.7602	.7640	.7675	.7710	.7788	.7860	.7924	.7982	.8036	9808.	.8132	
-		66.	.0101	.0626	æ	.1659	.2062	.2407	.2704	.2966	.3197	#O#0.	.3591	.3761	.3916	.4059	1614.	4184.	.4428	.4535	.4635	.4730	.4819	.4903	.4983	. 5059	.5131	. 5200	. 5265	.5328	.5388	9446.	.5580	.5702	.5814	.5917	.6012	2	α	.6259
	2	.95	52	2	33		35	72	N	C/I	51	8074.	88	.5041	18	.5313	.5432	. 5542	.5643	.5737	.5825	. 5907	. 5985	. 6057	.6126	.6191	.6252	.6310	9989.	.6419	6949.	.6518	.6630	.6731	.6823	90	98	05	12	.7185
	⊼ 11	06•		#3	27	86	0	65	3464.		O t	0/00.	.5734	. 5876	+00 9	.6119	.6225	.6322	.6411	on E	.6570	.6642	.6709	_	.6831	.6887	1 6	0669.	.7038	08	.7126	iO.	26	.7349	.7427	49	.7563	62	ω	.7731
		.75	33		61	6609.	<u>+</u>	7	.6921	ന	.7239	+00/.	.7472	.7568	.7653	. 7729	. 7798	.7861	. 7919	.7972	.8021	.8067	.8109	6418.	.8186	.8221	.8254	.8285	33	35	36	ത	⇉	0	S	2	.8635	.8671		.8735
		*0./	2	_	9	00	10	12	‡	16	38	70	22	- 5d	56	28	30	32	34	36	38	9	+ ₂	†	9+1	8+	20	52	27	26	28	9	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100

2. All μ_1 known and less than a. For this case, we wish to select the population having least value of $\sigma_1/(a-\mu_1)$. We use, then, the following parameter-free procedure at level P*.

Procedure N_{5,2}: Retain population π_i in the subset if $Q_i^2 \le d_{5,2} Q_{(l)}^2$

where Q_1^2 are defined above and $d_{5,2} = d_{4,2}$.

 $\frac{3. \quad \text{All } \mu_i \text{ known, with}}{\text{and a}} \mu_{[1]} \leq \mu_{[2]} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{[k_1-1]} < \mu_{[k_1]} < a,}$ and a $< \mu_{[k_1+1]} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{[k]}$, where $1 < k_1 < k$. Here, the properties of the normal distribution come into play and we note that as μ decreases, the coverage of the interval $(-\infty,a)$ increases. Hence we may eliminate from consideration the $k - k_1$ populations which have

means greater than a, and then apply procedure $N_{5,2}$ for $k = k_1$.

Case N_6 : μ 's unknown, $\mu_i = \mu$, i = 1, ..., k; σ^{2} 's unknown and variable.

In this situation we are faced with the unpleasant fact that, not only do we not know the common value μ of the μ_i , but we do not know whether μ is greater or smaller than the known number a. Since we wish to find the population with least value of $(\mu - a)/\sigma_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$ (see (5.3)), this means that we do not know whether the best population is the one with the largest σ_i (as is the case if $\mu > a$) or the one with the smallest σ_i (as is the case if $\mu < a$). We do assume, however, that there exists an ordering of the σ_i such that

$$\sigma_{\{1\}} < \sigma_{\{2\}} \le \dots \le \sigma_{\{k-1\}} < \sigma_{\{k\}}$$
 (5.13)

Further, we may gain information as to whether $~\mu~$ is less or greater than a by using the combined estimator ~X~ of $~\mu,~$ where

$$\frac{\overline{X}}{\overline{X}} = \frac{n\overline{X}_1 + \dots + n\overline{X}_k}{kn} = \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k \overline{X}_i$$
 (5.14)

where $X_i = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij}$. Denoting the usual unbiased estimator of σ_i^2 by V_i^2 , that is $V_i^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (X_{ij} - \overline{X}_i^2)^2$, we now state the following procedure which is parameter-free at level P*.

Procedure N_6 : Compute the estimator X of μ given by (5.14).

(1) If X > a, then retain π_i in the subset if

$$V_i^2 \ge d_{6,1} V_{(k)}^2$$

where $V_{(k)}^2 = \max_{i=1}^k V_i^2$, and where $d_{6,1}$ is given by

$$d_{6,1}(n) = d_{4,1}(n-1)$$
 (5.15)

for n = 2.

(2) If $\overline{\overline{X}} < a$, then retain π_i in the subset if

$$V_{i}^{2} \leq d_{6,2} V_{(1)}^{2}$$

where $V_{(1)}^2 = \min_{i=1}^k V_i^2$, and where $d_{6,2}$ is given by

$$d_{6,2}(n) = d_{4,2}(n-1)$$
 (5.16)

for $n \ge 2$.

That this procedure is indeed parameter-free at level P* is shown in Guttman (1968).

We now turn to the case where sampling is from exponential distributions; that is, we consider a collection Π of k populations which is such that the probability density function of the i^{th} population π_i is

$$f(x \mid \mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{i}^{-1} \exp \left\{-(x - \mu_{i})/\sigma_{i}\right\} & \text{if } x \geq \mu_{i}, \sigma_{i} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(5.17)$$

 $i=1,\ldots,k$. Assuming that the set of interest is again of the form $A=(-\infty,\,a]$, where "a" is a known constant, and that there exists a 'best' population in the sense of Definition 5.1 with criterion function defined by (5.2) and (5.17), then the problem of finding selection procedures for making a "correct selection" splits itself into several cases. It is to be noted that the best population is that population having largest value among the k values of $(a-\mu_1)/\sigma_1$. Guttman (1961) has shown that the procedures listed below for the various cases are parameter-free at level P*.

Case E_i : μ 's known, $\mu_i = \mu$, i=1,...,k, σ_i 's unknown and variable.

If the known value of μ is such that $\mu > a$, the exponential density defined by (5.17) gives zero coverage to $[-\infty, a]$. This means that there would not be a best population in our collection Π , contrary to assumption, so that we assume that $\mu < a$. Under this assumption and the condition that defines case E_l , we see that the best population is that which has least value of the σ_i ; that is, there is an ordering of the σ_i such that

$$\sigma_{[1]} < \sigma_{[2]} \le \cdots \le \sigma_{[k]}. \tag{5.18}$$

Now let k independent samples, each consisting of n independent observations, say X_{ij} , $j=1,\ldots,n$, $i=1,\ldots,k$, be taken. Let $Y_{ij}=X_{ij}-\mu$. The following procedure is parameter-free at level P*.

Procedure E_i : Retain π_i in the subset if

$$\overline{Y}_{i} < f_{1} \overline{Y}_{(1)}$$

where $\overline{Y}_i = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{ij}$, $\overline{Y}_{(1)} = \min_{i=1}^k Y_i$ and f_1 is a constant chosen to make $Pr(CS) \ge P*$, and satisfies the equation $f_1(n) = d_{4,2}(2n)$, where $d_{4,2}(2n)$ is defined in (5.12).

Procedure E_2 : Retain π_i in the subset if

$$U_1 \le U_{(1)} + f_2$$

where f_2 is a constant chosen to make the $P_r(CS) \ge P*$ and satisfies

$$f_2 = (\sigma \log k P^*)/n(k-1)$$
 (5.19)

Case E_3 : μ 's unknown, variable; σ_i 's known, variable.

If we let $\,\delta_{\,i}=(\mu_i^{\,-}\,a)/\sigma_i^{\,},\,$ then we may assume that there is an ordering of the $\,\delta_{\,i}^{\,}$ into

$$\delta_{[1]} < \delta_{[2]} \le \delta_{[3]} \le \dots \le \delta_{[k]}.$$
 (5.20)

and the best population is now the one having as its value of δ , the value $\delta_{[1]}$. Denote $\min_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij} \text{ by } U_{i} \text{ and let } Z_{i} = (U_{i} - a)/\sigma_{i}.$ The following procedure is parameter-free at level P*.

Procedure E_3 : Retain π_i if

$$Z_{i} \leq Z_{(1)} + f_{3}$$

where $Z_{(1)} = \min_{i=1}^{k} Z_i$, and f_3 is a constant chosen to make $Pr(CS) \ge P*$ and satisfies the equation

$$f_3 = f_3(n; k, P^*) = f_2(n; k, P^*; \sigma = 1)$$
 (5.21)

where f_2 is defined in (5.19).

Case E_4 : μ 's known and variable; σ 's unknown and variable.

Since we wish to find the population with least value of $(\mu_i - a)/\sigma_i, \ \ \text{the condition defining case E}_4 \ \ \text{leads us into the following}$ cases.

vations X_{ij} taken from the population π_i into $(X_{i(1)}, \dots, X_{i(n)})$, where

$$X_{i(1)} < X_{i(2)} < \ldots < X_{i(n)}$$
 (5.22)

where $i=1,\ldots,k$. Define $S_i=(n-1)^{-1}\sum\limits_{j=2}^n(X_{i(j)}-X_{i(l)})$, and $Z_i=S_i/(a-\mu_i)$. It is easy to see that we seek the population with least value of $\tau_i=\sigma_i/(a-\mu_i)$, and we assume that there is a best population, that is there is an ordering of the τ_i such that

$$\tau_{[1]} < \tau_{[2]} \le \cdots \le \tau_{[k]}$$
 (5.23)

The following procedure is parameter-free at level P*.

Procedure $E_{4,1}$: Retain π_i if

$$Z_i \leq f_{4,1} Z_{(1)}$$

where f_4 is such that $P_r(CS) \ge P*$ and satisfies

$$f_{4,1}(n) = d_{4,2}(2n-2)$$
 (5.24)

for n > 2, 3,

 $2. \text{ All } \mu_i \text{ known with } \mu_{[1]} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{[k_l-1]} < \mu_{[k_l]} < a, \text{ and } \\ a < \mu_{[k_l+1]} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{[k]}, \text{ where } 1 < k_l < k. \text{ Because an exponential }$

density of the type defined by (5.17) gives zero coverage to the interval $(-\infty, a]$ if $\mu_i > a$, we may disregard the $k-k_1$ populations for which $\mu_i > a$ and apply procedure $E_{4,1}$ with the k of that procedure put equal to k_1 .

3. All μ_i known with $\mu_i>a,\ i=1,\ldots,k.$ For reasons just cited we may disregard this case entirely.

 $\frac{\text{Case E}_5:}{\text{This case requires the use of a pooled estimator of }\sigma,}$ the common value of σ_i . Accordingly, define

$$S = \frac{(n-1) S_1 + \dots + (n-1) S_k}{k (n-1)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} S_i$$
 (5.25)

where the S_i are defined immediately after (5.22). Let $X_{i(l)} = \min_{\substack{j=1 \ ij}}^n X_{ij}$, $i=1,\ldots,k$ and $V_i=n$ $X_{i(l)}$, with $V_{(l)} = \min_{\substack{i=1 \ i=1}}^n V_i$. We again wish to find the population with least value of $(\mu_i-a)/\sigma_i=(\mu_i-a)/\sigma$, that is, the population with the least value of μ_i , where we tacitly assume that there is at least one μ_i < a. The following procedure is parameter-free at level P*.

Procedure
$$E_5$$
. Retain π_i if

$$V_{i} < V_{(1)} + f_{5} S$$

where f_5 is such that the $P_T(CS) \ge P^*$ and satisfies

$$P* = \int_{0}^{\infty} \{[1 + (u-f_{5})/k(n-1)]^{-k(k-1)(n-1)}\} \{[1 + u/k(n-1)]^{-k(n-1)-1}\} du.$$
(5.26)

Table 5.4 gives values of f_5 for selected $n \ge 2$, P* = .75, .90, .95 and .99 and k = 2, 3 and 4. Details of proofs that procedures $E_1 - E_5$ are parameter-free at level P* may be found in Guttman (1961).

We will return to best population problems in the next part of this monograph, namely, when we discuss the Bayesian approach to best population problems.

Table 5.4 Values of the constant f_{5} needed to make the Procedure E_{5} parameter-free at level P *

0	~1			¥. ₩	e :		-	II ¯	ut _	_
	.95	66.	.75	06'	. 95	66.	.75	06.	. 95	66.
ļ	.6722	.7075	4614.	9505		.5495	7.1	. 4293	£9nn.	က
	658	9	.4109	.5007		.5473	.3681	.4281	.4458	
	.6522	.6927	8804.	.4992	25	.5463	.3674	<u>~</u>	S	\circ
	.6495	.6902	6404.	.4985	.5253	.5457	.3671	~	+5+h.	
	.6479	.6887	4074	.4981	.5250	.5454	.3669	27	. 4453	S G
	6949.	.6878	.4070	6464.	.5247	.5452	.3667	~	.4453	.4590
	1949.	.6871	8904.	776t.	.5246	.5451	.3667	7	.4452	.4589
	.6456	.6866	990+.	.4975	.5245	.5450	.3666	<u></u>	.4452	.4589
	.6451	.6862	.4065	464.	.5244	6445.	.3666	.4272	. 4452	.4589
	8449.	.6859	4904.	464.	.5243	6445.	.3665	.4272	.4452	.4589
	.6445	.6856	.4063	.4973	.5242	.5448	.3665	<u></u>	.4451	. 4589
	.6443	.6854	.4062	.4972	.5242	. 5448	.3665	.4271	.4451	.4588
	.6441	.6852	.4062	.4972	.5242	.5447	.3664	.4271	1544.	S
	0449	.6851	.4061	.4971	.5241	.5447	.3664	. 4271	S	.4588
	.6438	.6849	.4061	.4971	.5241	.5447	₹366	.4271	.4451	. 4588
	.6437	.6848	090+	.4971	.5241	9446	,3664	.4271	.4451	S
	9649.	.6847	0904.	.4971	.5240	9446	13664	.4271	.4451	ഗ
	.6435	9489.	0904.	0.64.	.5240	9446	13664	.4271	.4451	.4588
	·6434	.6845	.4059	0.4670	.5240	9446	.3664	.4271	.4451	. 4588
	.6433	.6845	.4059	0/64.	.5240	9446	13664	.4271	.4451	.4588
	.6432	1984	.4059	0.4970	.5240	9446.	.3664	.4271	.4451	.4588
	.6432	.6843	.4059	. 4970	.5240	5445	.3663	.4271	.4451	28
	.6431	.6843	.4059	6964.	. 5239	. 5445	.3663	.4271	.4451	.4588
	.6431	.6842	.4058	6964.	.5239	5445	.3663	.4271	. 4451	∞
	.6430	.6842	.4058	696ħ.	.5239	. 5445	.3663	.4271	.4451	. 4588
	.6430	.6842	.4058	6964.	.5239	5445	.3663	.4271	1544.	ထ
	.6429	.6841	.4058	6964.	2	5445	.3663	.4271	.4451	S
	.6429	.6841	.4058	6964.	23	5445	.3663	.4271	.4451	28
	.6429	0489.	.4058	6964.	.5239	5445.	.3663	.4270	.4451	œ
	.6428	0489.	.4058	69611.	2	. 5445	99	~	.4451	28
	.6428	.6839	.4057	8964.	23	5445	.3663	.4270	0544.	ഗ
	.6427	.6839	.4057	896#.	.5238	11115.	.3663	.4270	0544.	α
	.6426	.6838	.4057	896ħ.	.5238	5444	.3663	7	0544.	
	.6426	.6838	. 4057	8964.	က	*2444	.3663	.4270	0544.	∞
	.6425	.6837	.4057	8964.	2	11115	.3663	.4270	0544.	.4588
	.6425	.6837	.4057	4968	23	1944	.3663	7	.4450	S
	.6425	.6837	$\overline{}$	896#*	.5238	12444	.3663	.4270	0544	28
	.6424	.6836	950+•	8964.		. 5444	.3663		0544.	. 4588
_	סנוש	1600		22011	1001	0 1 1 1	(((2	

References

Bowker, A. H. and Lieberman, G. J. (1959), "Engineering Statistics," Prentice Hall.

Guttman, Irwin (1961), "Best Populations and Tolerance Regions," Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., <u>13</u>, pp. 9-26.

Guttman, Irwin (1968), "A Parameter-free Procedure for a 'Best Population' Problem Involving Tolerance Regions," Report No., Department of Statistics, University of Wisconsin, Madison.

Ostle, B. (1963), "Statistics in Research," (2nd edition), Iowa Press.

Shewhart, W. A. (1939), Chapter 2 of "Statistical Method from the Viewpoint of Quality Control," Graduate School, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., pp. 50-79.